

Site Name: **GENERAL MILLS/HENKEL CORP.**EPA ID: **MND051441731**NPL Status: **Currently on the Final NPL**Region: **05**Section: **SFD/RRB#1/RRS1:****090594201**Primary RPM: **Evison, Leah**GM Survey Status: **Contaminated Ground Water Migration Under Control**

Estimated Date for Sufficient Data

Estimated Under Control Date:

Justification Type:

Justification Date:

GM Last Review Date:

09/19/2012RPM Certified: **Yes**

Justification Text: If site status has changed. Please enter a justification as to why the status has changed:

Definition: Is the migration of contaminated ground water being controlled through engineered or natural processes?

Q. Does the site currently have contaminated groundwater or did site conditions warrant EPA's investigation or remediation of groundwater contamination in the past?

Answer: **Yes**

No

STOP. You do not
need to complete
the GM EI

Yes

Step 1. Based on the most current data on the site, has all available / relevant / significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to groundwater been considered in this determination?

Answer: **Yes**SDMS/Control Number: **222413, 372521**

List Reference Document(s):

3rd 5YR Sept. 2004Insufficient
Data / No

Yes

Step 2. Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be contaminated above appropriately protective risk-based levels (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidance, or criteria) anywhere at or from the site?

Answer: **No**SDMS/Control Number: **222413**

List Reference Document(s):

3rd 5YR Sept. 2004Insufficient
Data

No

Contaminated
Groundwater
Migration Under
Control

Yes

Step 3. Is the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "the existing area of contaminated groundwater") as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination?

Answer:

SDMS/Control Number:

List Reference Document(s):

Insufficient
Data

No

(continued on next page)


Yes

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5



456227

(continued from previous page)



Approvals (Initial and Date)

RPM	Section Chief	Technical Review	Branch Chief	IMC	Data Entry

Site Name: GENERAL MILLS/HENKEL CORP.EPA ID: MND051441731NPL Status: Currently on the Final NPLRegion: 05 Section: SFD/RRB#1/RRS1: 090594201 Primary RPM: Evison, LeahHE Survey Status: Current Human Exposure Controlled and Protective Remedy in PlaceEstimated Date for Sufficient Data _____ HE Estimated Control Date: _____ LTHHP Estimated Control Date: 9/30/2025Justification Type: HEUC-HEPR Justification Date: 09/27/2005 HE Last Review Date: 9/19/2012 RPM Certified: Yes

Justification Text: If site status has changed, please enter a justification as to why the status has changed:

Five Year Review

Definition: The Long-Term Human Health Protection EI documents the progress achieved towards providing long-term human health protection by measuring the incremental progress achieved in controlling unacceptable human exposures at a site.

Step 1: Is there sufficient known and reliable information to make an evaluation on human exposure at this site?Answer: **Yes**SDMS Number(s): **222413, 372521**List Reference Document(s): **3rd Five Year Review - 09/2004**

No

**Insufficient Data
to Determine
Human Exposure
Control Status**

Yes

Step 2: Have all long-term human exposure-related cleanup goals been met for the entire site?Answer: **No**SDMS Number(s): **222413**List Reference Document(s): **3rd Five Year Review - 09/2004**

Yes

**Long-Term
Human Health
Protection
Achieved**

No

Step 3: Are there complete human exposure pathways between contaminated groundwater, soil surface water, sediment, or air media and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under current conditions?Answer: **No**SDMS Number(s): **222413**List Reference Document(s): **3rd Five Year Review - 09/2004**

No

Yes

Step 4: Are the actual or reasonably expected human exposures associated with the complete pathways identified in Step 3 within acceptable limits under current conditions?

Answer:

SDMS Number(s):

List Reference Document(s):

No

**Current Human
Exposures Not
Controlled**

(continued on next page)

Yes

(continued from previous page)

Step 5: Is the site Construction Complete, is the remedy operating as intended, and are engineering and institutional controls (if required), in place and effective?

Answer: **Yes**

SDMS Number(s):

List Reference Document(s):

No

Current Human
Exposures
Controlled

Yes

Current Human
Exposure
Controlled and
Protective
Remedy in Place

Step 6: Are there continuing exposures at the site? Answer Yes only if EPA (or a state or PRP) has exhausted all response actions and legal authorities to prevent unacceptable human exposure, yet exposures continue due to a refusal by the property owner(s) to participate in the remedy (e.g., refusal to accept a municipal water supply hookup) AND the region wishes to exercise its discretion to classify this site as Human Exposure Under Control, consistent with the requirements laid out in the Superfund Environmental Indicators Guidance (OSWER 9285.02, March 2008, pages 4-10 and 4-11).

Answer: **No**

Exposure Pathway Description

If Human Exposure is NOT under control, please describe the exposure pathway.

☐ Approved by Headquarters Environmental Coordinator

Unofficial

Official

Approvals (Initial and Date)

RPM	Section Chief	Technical Review	Branch Chief	IMC	Data Entry